Enmore Primary School Pupil premium strategy statement

1. Summary information						
Academic Year2017/18Total PP budget£26,980Date of most recent PP Reviewn/a						
Total number of pupils	143	Number of pupils eligible for PP	18 (13%)	Date for next internal review of this strategy	September 2018	

2. Current Attainment

Performance of EYFS Pupils

	Non Pupil Premium	Pupil Premium	
EYFS GLD	81	50	

Performance in Yr 1 Phonics Screening

	Non Pupil Premium	Pupil Premium	
Phonics	79	50	

Performance of Yr 2 Pupils in KS1 Assessment

	Non Pupil Premium		Pupil Premium		
	ARE and above Greater Depth		ARE and above	Greater Depth	
Reading	85	20	0	0	
Writing	85	20	0	0	
Maths	75	20	0	0	

Performance of Yr 6 Pupils in KS2 Assessment

	Non Pupil Premium P		Pupil Premium	
	ARE and above Greater Depth Al		ARE and above	Greater Depth
Reading	89		100	
Writing	89		67	
Maths	89		67	
EGPS	100		100	

Progress of Year 6 Pupils

	Reading	Writing	Maths
Progress for PP children	5.24	-4.25	-2.42
National Average for non-	2.22	0.24	-0.02
disadvantaged pupils			

Performance of the Whole School

Percentage of Pupil Premium Children making at least expected progress

	2017-18
Reading	
Writing	
Maths	

Percentage of Pupil Premium Children at ARE or above

	2017-18
Reading	56 (90)
Writing	33 (60)
Maths	44 (80)

Percentage of Non Pupil Premium Children at ARE or above

	2017-18
Reading	73
Writing	52
Maths	75

Distribution of PP Children

Year Group	Number of PP children
R	4
1	3
2	1
3	0
4	6
5	3
6	4

3. Ba	3. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP, including high ability)				
In-school barriers					
Α.	Oral language skills in Reception are lower for pupils eligible for PP than for other pupils. This slows reading progress in subsequent years.				
В.	PP children slower to develop use of sentences in order to make progress in their writing				
C.	Small numbers in each cohort result in personal issues needing to be identified and addressed				
Extern	External barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)				
D.	PP children tend to be amongst those lacking parental support in early phonics/ reading.				
4. De	esired outcomes				
	Desired outcomes and how they will be measured	Success criteria			
А.	Improve oral language skills for pupils eligible for PP in Reception class. Fewer PP children failing phonic screening test and below ARE in reading and writing at the end of KS1.	Pupils eligible for PP in Reception class make rapid progress by the end of the year so that all pupils eligible for PP meet age related expectations in year 1 and KS1.			
В.	Higher rates of progress across KS2 for high attaining pupils eligible for PP. Fewer PP children entering year groups below ARE esp. in writing	Pupils eligible for PP working at least at ARE.			
C.	Individual learning targets addressed as soon as possible via interventions	Record of successful interventions. 80% success 2016-17.			

4. Planned expe					
Academic Year	2017-18				
	elow enable schools to demor	nstrate how they are using	the pupil premium to impro	ove classroom pedagogy, p	provide targeted support
and support whole sch					
Quality of teaching f		1	1	1	
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
A	Implementation of Read, write, inc phonic programme	EEF +4 Developing our own synthetic phonic teaching	All staff involved to be trained and resources purchased	Literacy coordinator	Summer 2019 GLD Phonic screening KS1 SATS
				Total budgeted cost	3440
Targeted support					
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
A, B and C	Targeted intervention groups	EEF +4	Use Intervention Request Form and use evidence to ensure groups are as small as possible	SENDCO All teaching staff determine intervention required and HLTA to deliver	On- going feedback to teachers and overview of % success by SENDCO
A	Targeted intervention groups for Phonics	EEF +4	Use class 1 and 2 Phonic Phase assessments to identify children not making progress	SENDCO HLTA to deliver	On- going feedback to teachers and overview of % success by SENDCO
В	Longer term interventions Software and timetabling	EEF+4 Small group work	Children selected based on PPMs. Group sizes monitored.	HT, literacy coordinator GM	Progress reviewed through PPMs and data drops
B and C	SENDCO to meet with PP children and write to parents	Mentoring EEF +1 Parental involvement EEF +3	HT to monitor information sent to parents and responses and parental attendance at Parent Consultations	SENDCO	Reviewed between HT and SENDCO

A, B and C	One to one support	EEF +4	Specific individual support	SENDCO	Progress reviewed
			given where required		through PPMs and data
					drops
	•			Total budgeted cost	22800
Other approaches					
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
CPD of support staff	Training given as required to ensure support staff aware of role and best practice		SENDCO to appraise support staff involved in learning	SENDCO	Annual review between HT and SENDCO
PP children participation in school trips	Trips paid for.	Advantage of outside learning.	Ensure high PP participation in external visits.	HT SBM	Annual
PP children's wider opportunities funded	Music lessons and after school sports' clubs paid for.	EEF +2 arts participation EEF +2 extending school day	Participation rates evaluated.	HT SBM	Annual
Specific learning resources	Specific equipment./ software purchased as necessary		Use of specialist equipment and resources	SENDCO	Annual
Secure transition of PP children, including those @ARE	All PP children encouraged to participate in confidence days/summer schools	Evidence that PP children @ARE in KS2 still frequently fail to achieve in KS3 and 4	SENDCO/ DH to aid transition and encourage involvement	SENDCO DHT	Annual
				Total budgeted cost	2470
				Grand Total	28710